

REAL POLYNOMIALS WITH ALL ROOTS ON THE UNIT CIRCLE AND ABELIAN VARIETIES OVER FINITE FIELDS

STEPHEN A. DIPIPPO AND EVERETT W. HOWE

Version 20000421 — see J. Number Theory **73** (1998) 426–450;

Corrigendum, J. Number Theory **83** (2000) 182.

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove several theorems about abelian varieties over finite fields by studying the set of monic real polynomials of degree $2n$ all of whose roots lie on the unit circle. In particular, we consider a set V_n of vectors in $\mathbf{R}^n$ that give the coefficients of such polynomials. We calculate the volume of V_n and we find a large easily-described subset of V_n . Using these results, we find an asymptotic formula — with explicit error terms — for the number of isogeny classes of n -dimensional abelian varieties over $\mathbf{F}_q$. We also show that if $n > 1$, the set of group orders of n -dimensional abelian varieties over $\mathbf{F}_q$ contains every integer in an interval of length roughly $q^{n-\frac{1}{2}}$ centered at $q^n + 1$. Our calculation of the volume of V_n involves the evaluation of the integral over the simplex $\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid 0 \leq x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_n \leq 1\}$ of the determinant of the $n \times n$ matrix $[x_j^{e_i-1}]$, where the e_i are positive real numbers.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study the set of monic real polynomials of degree $2n$ with all roots on the unit circle, and we use our results on such polynomials to prove several theorems about abelian varieties over finite fields. In particular, we find an asymptotic formula (for n fixed and $q \rightarrow \infty$) for the number of isogeny classes of n -dimensional abelian varieties over $\mathbf{F}_q$, and we find a large interval of integers near $q^n + 1$ that can be obtained as the group orders of n -dimensional abelian varieties over $\mathbf{F}_q$.

For every prime power q and non-negative integer n we let $\mathcal{I}(q, n)$ denote the set of isogeny classes of n -dimensional abelian varieties over $\mathbf{F}_q$; also, we let $\mathcal{O}(q, n)$ and $\mathcal{N}(q, n)$ denote the ordinary and non-ordinary isogeny classes in $\mathcal{I}(q, n)$, respectively. Furthermore, for every positive integer n we let

$$v_n = \frac{2^n}{n!} \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{2j}{2j-1} \right)^{n+1-j},$$

and we define an arithmetic function r by setting $r(x) = \varphi(x)/x$, where φ is Euler's φ -function.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11G10; Secondary 11G25, 14G15, 33B15.

Key words and phrases. Abelian variety, isogeny class, finite field, Selberg beta integral.

Theorem 1.1. *Let n be a positive integer. As $q \rightarrow \infty$ over the prime powers, we have $\#\mathcal{O}(q, n) = O(q^{(n+2)(n-1)/4})$ and $\#\mathcal{I}(q, n) \sim \#\mathcal{O}(q, n) \sim v_n r(q) q^{n(n+1)/4}$.*

In fact, Theorem 1.1 is simply a corollary to a more precise result that includes error terms.

Theorem 1.2. *For every positive integer n and prime power q we have*

$$\left| \#\mathcal{O}(q, n) - v_n r(q) q^{n(n+1)/4} \right| \leq 6^{n^2} c_1^n c_2 \frac{n(n+1)}{(n-1)!} q^{(n+2)(n-1)/4}$$

and

$$\#\mathcal{O}(q, n-1) \leq \#\mathcal{N}(q, n) \leq \left(v_n + 6^{n^2} c_1^n c_3 \frac{n(n+1)}{(n-1)!} \right) q^{(n+2)(n-1)/4},$$

where

$$c_1 = \sqrt{3}/6 \approx 0.288675,$$

$$c_2 = \exp(3/2) \cdot 2(1 + \sqrt{2})\sqrt{3}(1 + \sqrt{3}/162)^3/3 \approx 12.898608,$$

and

$$c_3 = c_2/(1 + \sqrt{2}) \approx 5.342778.$$

If one is interested in obtaining lower bounds for the number of isogeny classes of n -dimensional abelian varieties over $\mathbf{F}_q$ for specific values of n and q , Theorem 1.2 is only useful when q is quite large compared to n ; when q is small — less than roughly 6^{2n^2} — one cannot even conclude from Theorem 1.2 that $\#\mathcal{O}(q, n)$ is nonzero. To take care of this problem, we prove a theorem that is not ideal asymptotically but that does give nontrivial bounds when q is small.

Theorem 1.3. *For every positive integer n and prime power q we have*

$$\#\mathcal{O}(q, n) > c_4 (c_5 n)^{-2(\log 2)/(\log q)} \frac{2^n}{n!} \left(r(q) q^{n/2} - n \right) q^{n(n-1)/4},$$

where $c_4 = \exp(-3/2) \approx 0.223130$ and $c_5 = 2 + \sqrt{2} \approx 3.414214$.

Our other main topic concerns the group orders of abelian varieties over finite fields. If A is an n -dimensional abelian variety over $\mathbf{F}_q$, then Weil's "Riemann Hypothesis" shows that $\#A(\mathbf{F}_q)$ is at least $(\sqrt{q} - 1)^{2n}$ and at most $(\sqrt{q} + 1)^{2n}$. We prove that when $n > 1$, every integer in a certain subinterval of this allowable range actually does occur as the group order of an abelian variety.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $q \geq 4$ be a power of a prime, let*

$$B_q = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{q} - 2}{\sqrt{q} - 1} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad C_q = \frac{\lfloor B_q \sqrt{q} \rfloor + 1/2}{\sqrt{q}},$$

and let $n > 1$ be an integer. If m is an integer such that $|m - (q^n + 1)| \leq C_q q^{n-1/2}$ then there is an n -dimensional ordinary abelian variety A over $\mathbf{F}_q$ with $m = \#A(\mathbf{F}_q)$.

Note that the theorem would be false without the restriction that n be greater than 1, even if we were allowed to take A to be non-ordinary; for example, if $q = p^a$

with $a > 2$ then $q + 1 + p$ is not the group order of an elliptic curve over $\mathbf{F}_q$, as can be seen from [9, Theorem 4.1]. Note also that when $q \gg n$ Weil's theorem restricts $\#A(\mathbf{F}_q)$ to a range of roughly $4nq^{n-\frac{1}{2}}$ values, whereas about $q^{n-\frac{1}{2}}$ integers m satisfy the inequality of Theorem 1.4.

A result similar to Theorem 1.4 holds for $q < 4$ — see Exercise 3.3.2.

The proofs of all of these theorems involve properties of the set P_n of monic polynomials in $\mathbf{R}[x]$ of degree $2n$ all of whose roots lie on the unit circle and whose real roots occur with even multiplicity. In Section 2.2 we find the volume of a region $V_n \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ consisting of vectors that give the coefficients of polynomials in P_n ; this volume computation involves the evaluation of an integral that is reminiscent of the Selberg beta integral, in that the integrand is the determinant of a Vandermonde-like matrix. In Section 2.3 we give bounds (with error terms) on the sizes of the intersections of V_n with rectilinear lattices, and in Section 2.5 we find an easily-described subset of V_n that allows us to prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.4.

It is possible that our results on real polynomials with all roots on the unit circle will have applications other than the ones we present here, so we make almost no mention of abelian varieties in Part 2 of the present paper. We return to abelian varieties in Part 3, where we prove the theorems in this introduction.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank: Joseph Wetherell, for asking questions that led to this research; David Robbins, for suggesting Proposition 2.2.2; Hendrik Lenstra, for pointing out Lemma 3.1.2; Glenn Appleby, Noam Elkies, David Grabiner, and Richard Stanley, for observing that certain special cases of the integral in Proposition 2.2.2 are special cases of the Selberg beta integral, and for providing references; and Joshua Holden, for pointing out errors in the versions of Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 3.2.1 that appeared in the Journal of Number Theory.

2. REAL POLYNOMIALS WITH ALL ROOTS ON THE UNIT CIRCLE

2.1. The parameterizing region V_n .

Suppose $g \in \mathbf{R}[x]$ is a monic polynomial of degree $2n$ all of whose complex roots lie on the unit circle, and suppose further that if 1 or -1 is a root of g then it occurs with even multiplicity. Then the roots of g come in complex-conjugate pairs, so g factors over $\mathbf{R}$ as the product of n terms of the form $x^2 - rx + 1$, where $-2 \leq r \leq 2$. The symmetry of the factors of g shows that there is a vector $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{R}^n$ such that $g = g_{\mathbf{b}}$, where for every $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ we let $g_{\mathbf{b}}$ denote the polynomial

$$g_{\mathbf{b}} = (x^{2n} + 1) + b_1(x^{2n-1} + x) + \dots + b_{n-1}(x^{n+1} + x^{n-1}) + b_n x^n.$$

We are led to the following definition:

Definition. Let V_n be the set of those $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{R}^n$ such that all of the complex roots of $g_{\mathbf{b}}$ lie on the unit circle.

Our main goal in this section is to find an explicit homeomorphism Φ from a simplex to V_n ; this homeomorphism will be essential for our calculation of the volume of V_n in Section 2.2. The simplex that will be most convenient for us is the subset I_n of $\mathbf{R}^n$ defined by $I_n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid -2 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n \leq 2\}$, and the homeomorphism Φ will be obtained as the composition of two maps Ψ and X from $\mathbf{R}^n$ to $\mathbf{R}^n$ that we now define.

For every $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ we let $h_{\mathbf{c}}$ denote the polynomial $h_{\mathbf{c}} = x^n + c_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + c_n$. If $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$ is an element of $\mathbf{R}^n$, we let $\Psi(\mathbf{r})$ be the

vector $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{R}^n$ such that $(x - r_1) \cdots (x - r_n) = h_{\mathbf{c}}$ is an equality of polynomials; in other words, the i th component of $\mathbf{c}$ is $(-1)^i$ times the i th symmetric polynomial in the r_j . Next, given a vector $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{R}^n$, we define $X(\mathbf{c})$ to be the unique $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{R}^n$ such that

$$x^n h_{\mathbf{c}}(x + 1/x) = g_{\mathbf{b}} \quad (1)$$

is an equality of polynomials. Finally, we let $\Phi = X \circ \Psi$.

Lemma 2.1.1. *The map Φ induces a homeomorphism from I_n to V_n .*

Proof. Suppose $\mathbf{b}$ is an element of V_n . The symmetry of the polynomial $g_{\mathbf{b}}$ shows that we may write $g_{\mathbf{b}} = x^n h_{\mathbf{c}}(x + 1/x)$ for some unique $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{R}^n$, and it is clear from this equality that the roots of $h_{\mathbf{c}}$ are exactly the traces (from $\mathbf{C}$ to $\mathbf{R}$) of the roots of $g_{\mathbf{b}}$. Thus the roots of $h_{\mathbf{c}}$ all lie between -2 and 2 , and it follows that $\mathbf{c} = \Psi(\mathbf{r})$ for a unique vector $\mathbf{r}$ in I_n — namely, the vector that consists of the roots of $h_{\mathbf{c}}$ listed in non-decreasing order. Conversely, if $\mathbf{r} \in I_n$ it is clear that $X(\Psi(\mathbf{r})) \in V_n$. Thus, Φ gives a bijection between I_n and V_n . The lemma then follows from the fact that a continuous bijection from a compact topological space to a Hausdorff space is a homeomorphism. $\square$

Example 2.1.2. The set V_1 is just the closed interval $[-2, 2]$, and V_2 is also easily-described: A simple calculation shows that for $n = 2$ the map $\Phi: \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$ sends (r_1, r_2) to $(b_1, b_2) = (-r_1 - r_2, r_1 r_2 + 2)$, and by noting where Φ sends the boundary of I_2 , one checks that V_2 is the set of all (b_1, b_2) such that $b_2 \geq 2|b_1| - 2$ and $b_2 \leq b_1^2/4 + 2$. We note for future reference that the set V_2 contains the square $[-1, 1] \times [0, 2]$.

Lemma 2.1.1 allows us to characterize the elements of the boundary of V_n in terms of the roots of their associated polynomials.

Lemma 2.1.3. *The boundary of V_n consists of those $\mathbf{b} \in V_n$ such that $g_{\mathbf{b}}$ has multiple roots.*

Proof. Equation (1) tells us that for every $\mathbf{r} \in I_n$ the multi-set of roots of $g_{\Phi(\mathbf{r})}$ is equal to the union over i of the multi-sets of roots of $x + 1/x = r_i$, so $g_{\Phi(\mathbf{r})}$ will have multiple roots exactly when either two of the r_i are equal to one another or one of the r_i is ± 2 . But the latter conditions are exactly the conditions for an element $\mathbf{r}$ of I_n to be in ∂I_n , so the set of $\mathbf{b} \in V_n$ such that $g_{\mathbf{b}}$ has multiple roots is equal to $\Phi(\partial I_n)$, and this last set is ∂V_n because Φ is a homeomorphism of manifolds-with-boundary. $\square$

2.2. A volume calculation.

In this section we will calculate the volume of the region V_n .

Proposition 2.2.1. *The volume v_n of the region V_n is given by*

$$v_n = \frac{2^{n(n+1)}}{n!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{j-i}{j+i} = \frac{2^n}{n!} \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{2j}{2j-1} \right)^{n+1-j}.$$

Proof. We maintain the notation used in Section 2.1. Recall that if $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$ is an element of $\mathbf{R}^n$ and if we let $\mathbf{b} = X(\mathbf{c})$, then we have $x^n h_{\mathbf{c}}(x + 1/x) = g_{\mathbf{b}}$,

where $h_{\mathbf{c}}$ and $g_{\mathbf{b}}$ are the polynomials defined above. Equating the coefficients in these polynomials, we find that for every i we have

$$b_i = c_i + (\text{terms involving only } c_1, \dots, c_{i-1}).$$

Thus the Jacobian matrix $\text{Jac } X$ of X is triangular with 1's on the diagonal, so $\det \text{Jac } X = 1$ and the volume of V_n is equal to the volume of $\Psi(I_n)$.

Let $\Omega: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ denote the power-sum map that sends $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$ to $(p_1, \dots, p_n)$, where $p_i = r_1^i + \dots + r_n^i$. Every p_i is a symmetric polynomial in the variables r_j and is therefore expressible as a polynomial function of the elementary symmetric polynomials in the r_j . This implies that we have $\Omega = \Upsilon \circ \Psi$ for some polynomial map $\Upsilon: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$, because the coordinates of Ψ are the elementary symmetric polynomials in the r_i (up to sign). Explicitly, if we let $\mathbf{c} = \Psi(\mathbf{r})$ then Newton's formulas relating the power-sums to the symmetric polynomials state that

$$ic_i + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} c_j p_{i-j} = 0$$

for all i ; here we have set $c_0 = 1$. From these equalities it follows that for every i we have

$$p_i = -ic_i + (\text{terms involving only } c_1, \dots, c_{i-1}).$$

Thus $\text{Jac } \Upsilon$ is a triangular matrix whose i th diagonal entry is $-i$, so $\text{Jac } \Upsilon$ has determinant $(-1)^n n!$, and we see that the volume of $\Psi(I_n)$ is equal to $1/n!$ times the volume of $\Omega(I_n)$.

Now, since Ω is injective on I_n the volume of $\Omega(I_n)$ is equal to the integral of $|\det \text{Jac } \Omega|$ over I_n , and $\det \text{Jac } \Omega = \det [ix_j^{i-1}] = n! \det [x_j^{i-1}]$. This Vandermonde determinant is always non-negative on I_n so we may drop the absolute value signs in the integrand. Also, since the determinant depends only on the differences of the x_i , we may shift the region of integration from I_n to

$$\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid 0 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n \leq 4 \}$$

without changing the value of the integral. If we then scale the variables by setting $x_i = 4y_i$, we change the region of integration to

$$J_n = \{ (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid 0 \leq y_1 \leq \dots \leq y_n \leq 1 \}$$

at the expense of adding a factor of 4 for each of the $\binom{n}{2}$ factors of the Vandermonde determinant and each of the n differentials dx_i . We find that

$$\int_{I_n} \det \text{Jac } \Omega \, dx_1 \cdots dx_n = n! 4^{\binom{n}{2} + n} \int_{J_n} \det [y_j^{i-1}] \, dy_1 \cdots dy_n,$$

and by using Proposition 2.2.2 below we see that we have

$$\int_{I_n} \det \text{Jac } \Omega \, dx_1 \cdots dx_n = 2^{n(n+1)} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{j-i}{j+i}.$$

Combining this equality with the conclusions of the preceding two paragraphs, we find the first expression for v_n given in the proposition. A simple induction gives us the second expression as well. $\square$

Proposition 2.2.2 (Robbins). *Let $e_1, \dots, e_n$ be positive real numbers and let J_n be the simplex define above. Then*

$$\int_{J_n} \det [x_j^{e_i-1}] dx_1 \cdots dx_n = \frac{1}{e_1 \cdots e_n} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{e_j - e_i}{e_j + e_i}. \quad (2)$$

Remark. Robbins [6] provides an elegant proof of Proposition 2.2.2 based on a result of Okada [5, Theorem 3]. We present an alternate proof that avoids the use of Okada's theorem.

Remark. We will only have call to apply Proposition 2.2.2 in the special case where $e_i = i$, in which case the integral in the proposition is a special case of the Selberg beta integral (see [4, Chapter 17] or [7]).

Proof of Proposition 2.2.2. Let $D_n(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ denote the left-hand side of (2) and let $E_n(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ denote the right-hand side. We will prove the following three statements, from which the proposition follows:

1. For every $e_1 > 0$ we have $D_1(e_1) = E_1(e_1)$.
2. For $n > 1$ we have

$$D_n(e_1, \dots, e_n) = \frac{1}{e_1 + \cdots + e_n} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} D_{n-1}(e_1, \dots, \widehat{e}_k, \dots, e_n),$$

where a hat over a variable means that it is to be omitted.

3. For $n > 1$ we have

$$E_n(e_1, \dots, e_n) = \frac{1}{e_1 + \cdots + e_n} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} E_{n-1}(e_1, \dots, \widehat{e}_k, \dots, e_n).$$

Proof of statement 1. It is easy to check that $D_1(e_1)$ and $E_1(e_1)$ both equal $1/e_1$.

Proof of statement 2. We will evaluate $D_n(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ by integrating with respect to x_n on the outside and rescaling the remaining variables by setting $y_i = x_i/x_n$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. We find

$$D_n(e_1, \dots, e_n) = \int_{0 \leq x_n \leq 1} \int_{0 \leq y_1 \leq \cdots \leq y_{n-1} \leq 1} (\det M) x_n^{e_n-1} dy_1 \cdots dy_{n-1} dx_n$$

where M is the matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} x_n^{e_1-1} y_1^{e_1-1} & \cdots & x_n^{e_1-1} y_{n-1}^{e_1-1} & x_n^{e_1-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_n^{e_n-1} y_1^{e_n-1} & \cdots & x_n^{e_n-1} y_{n-1}^{e_n-1} & x_n^{e_n-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Every entry in the i th row of M has a factor of $x_n^{e_i-1}$, so the determinant of M is equal to $x^{(e_1-1)+\cdots+(e_n-1)}$ times the determinant of the matrix

$$N = \begin{bmatrix} y_1^{e_1-1} & \cdots & y_{n-1}^{e_1-1} & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ y_1^{e_n-1} & \cdots & y_{n-1}^{e_n-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} D_n(e_1, \dots, e_n) &= \int_{0 \leq x_n \leq 1} x_n^{e_1 + \dots + e_n - 1} dx_n \int_{0 \leq y_1 \leq \dots \leq y_{n-1} \leq 1} (\det N) dy_1 \dots dy_{n-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{e_1 + \dots + e_n} \int_{J_{n-1}} (\det N) dy_1 \dots dy_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Expanding $\det N$ by minors on the n th column gives us statement 2.

Proof of statement 3. From the definition of E_n we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{n-k} \frac{E_{n-1}(e_1, \dots, \widehat{e}_k, \dots, e_n)}{E_n(e_1, \dots, e_n)} &= (-1)^{n-k} e_k \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{e_k + e_i}{e_k - e_i} \right) \left(\prod_{j=k+1}^n \frac{e_j + e_k}{e_j - e_k} \right) \\ &= e_k \prod_{i \neq k} \frac{e_k + e_i}{e_k - e_i}, \end{aligned}$$

so to prove statement 3 it will be enough to show that

$$e_1 + \dots + e_n = \sum_{k=1}^n e_k \prod_{i \neq k} \frac{e_k + e_i}{e_k - e_i}. \quad (3)$$

Consider the meromorphic differential $\omega = \prod_{i=1}^n ((z + e_i)/(z - e_i)) dz$ on $\mathbf{P}^1$, whose only poles are at the e_k and at infinity. It is easy to calculate that the residue of ω at $z = e_k$ is $2e_k \prod_{i \neq k} ((e_k + e_i)/(e_k - e_i))$ and that the residue of ω at $z = \infty$ is $-2(e_1 + \dots + e_n)$. Equation (3) then follows from the fact that the sum of the residues of a meromorphic differential on $\mathbf{P}^1$ is zero. $\square$

2.3. Lattice points in V_n .

In the course of proving our asymptotic formulas for the number of isogeny classes of n -dimensional abelian varieties over a finite field, we will require estimates for the sizes of the intersections of various lattices in $\mathbf{R}^n$ with the set V_n defined in Section 2.1. In this section we will provide such estimates, but only for the type of lattices we will encounter in our later work: *rectilinear* lattices, by which we mean lattices that have a rectilinear fundamental domain with edges parallel to the coordinate axes. The *covolume* of a lattice Λ is the volume of a fundamental domain R of Λ , and if R is rectilinear then the *mesh* of Λ is the length of the longest edge of R .

Proposition 2.3.1. *Let $n > 0$ be an integer and let $\Lambda \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be a rectilinear lattice with mesh d at most 1. Then we have*

$$\left| \#(\Lambda \cap V_n) - \frac{\text{volume } V_n}{\text{covolume } \Lambda} \right| \leq 6^{n^2} c_1^n c_3 \frac{n(n+1)}{(n-1)!} \frac{d}{\text{covolume } \Lambda}$$

where c_1 and c_3 are as in Theorem 1.2.

To prove this proposition we will use the technique found, for example, in the proof of [3, Lemma 2, p. 165]. The technique requires that we express the boundary of V_n as the union of the images of easily-understood regions of $\mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ under Lipschitz maps for which we have explicit Lipschitz factors; by “easily-understood”,

we mean that we should be able to find good approximations for the sizes of the intersections of the regions with cubical lattices. Thus, our proof will boil down to the two lemmas presented below, whose proofs we will postpone until after the proof of Proposition 2.3.1.

Let I'_m denote the subset $\{(x_1, \dots, x_m) \mid -2 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_m < 2\}$ of $\mathbf{R}^m$, so that I'_m can be obtained from the simplex I_m defined in Section 2.1 by removing one face.

Lemma 2.3.2. *Let $n > 1$ be an integer, let δ be a real number such that $\delta \leq (n-1)6^{-n}/c_6$ where $c_6 = \sqrt{3}/8$, and let M denote the shifted cubical lattice in $\mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ with center at $(-2, \dots, -2)$ and edge length δ . Then*

$$\#(M \cap I'_{n-1}) \leq c_7 \frac{4^{n-1}}{(n-1)! \delta^{n-1}},$$

where $c_7 = (1 + \sqrt{3}/162)^3$.

The proof of this lemma appears immediately after the proof of Proposition 2.3.1.

Now we will define $n+1$ maps from I_{n-1} to ∂I_n whose images cover ∂I_n . For every $i = 0, \dots, n$ let $\Delta_i: I_{n-1} \rightarrow I_n$ be defined by

$$\Delta_i(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = \begin{cases} (-2, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) & \text{if } i = 0; \\ (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{n-1}) & \text{if } 0 < i < n; \\ (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 2) & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases}$$

For every m let $\|\cdot\|$ denote the sup-norm on $\mathbf{R}^m$.

Lemma 2.3.3. *For every index $i = 0, \dots, n$ and for every $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ in I_{n-1} we have $\|(\Phi \circ \Delta_i)(\mathbf{x}) - (\Phi \circ \Delta_i)(\mathbf{y})\| \leq c_6 6^n \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|$, where c_6 is as in Lemma 2.3.2.*

We will prove this lemma in the next section.

Proof of Proposition 2.3.1. It is easy to verify the statement of the proposition when $n = 1$, so henceforth we will assume that $n > 1$.

Suppose Λ is generated by the vectors $d_1 \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, d_n \mathbf{e}_n$, where the $\mathbf{e}_i$ are the standard unit vectors in $\mathbf{R}^n$ and where the d_i are positive. To every lattice point $\ell \in \Lambda$ we associate the “brick” $B_\ell = \ell + \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid \forall i : 0 \leq x_i < d_i\}$. Let S denote the set of all ℓ such that $B_\ell \subseteq V_n$, let T denote the set of all ℓ such that $B_\ell \cap V_n \neq \emptyset$, and let $U = T \setminus S$. It is clear that $\#T \geq \text{volume } V_n / \text{covolume } \Lambda$ and that $\#S \leq \text{volume } V_n / \text{covolume } \Lambda$. Since $\ell \in V_n$ implies $\ell \in T$ we find that

$$\#(\Lambda \cap V_n) \leq \#T = \#S + \#U \leq \frac{\text{volume } V_n}{\text{covolume } \Lambda} + \#U,$$

and since $\ell \in S$ implies $\ell \in V_n$ we find that

$$\#(\Lambda \cap V_n) \geq \#S = \#T - \#U \geq \frac{\text{volume } V_n}{\text{covolume } \Lambda} - \#U,$$

so that

$$\left| \#(\Lambda \cap V_n) - \frac{\text{volume } V_n}{\text{covolume } \Lambda} \right| \leq \#U.$$

Thus, to prove the proposition it will be enough to show that

$$\#U \leq 6^{n^2} c_1^n c_3 \frac{n(n+1)}{(n-1)!} \frac{d}{\text{covolume } \Lambda},$$

where d is the mesh of Λ .

Let $\delta = (n-1)6^{-n}d/c_6$, where c_6 is as in Lemma 2.3.2, and let M be the shifted cubical lattice in $\mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ with center at $(-2, \dots, -2)$ and with edge length δ . Notice that if $\mathbf{x}$ is a vector in I_{n-1} then there is an element $\mathbf{m}$ of $M \cap I'_{n-1}$ such that $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}\| \leq \delta$.

Suppose $\ell \in U$. Then there is a point $\mathbf{z} \in \partial V_n$ such that $\|\ell - \mathbf{z}\| \leq d$. Let $\mathbf{y} = \Phi^{-1}(\mathbf{z})$, so that $\mathbf{y} \in \partial I_n$. Finally, choose an i and an $\mathbf{x} \in I_{n-1}$ such that $\mathbf{y} = \Delta_i(\mathbf{x})$, and let $\mathbf{m}$ be an element of $M \cap I'_{n-1}$ such that $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}\| \leq \delta$. Using Lemma 2.3.3, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\ell - (\Phi \circ \Delta_i)(\mathbf{m})\| &\leq \|\ell - \mathbf{z}\| + \|\mathbf{z} - (\Phi \circ \Delta_i)(\mathbf{m})\| \\ &\leq d + \|(\Phi \circ \Delta_i)(\mathbf{x}) - (\Phi \circ \Delta_i)(\mathbf{m})\| \\ &\leq d + c_6 6^n \delta \\ &= nd. \end{aligned}$$

We find that the number of elements of U is bounded by the number of elements ℓ of Λ for which there is an i and an $\mathbf{m} \in M \cap I'_{n-1}$ such that $\|\ell - (\Phi \circ \Delta_i)(\mathbf{m})\| \leq nd$. Now, if $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{R}^n$ then the number of $\ell \in \Lambda$ with $\|\ell - \mathbf{w}\| \leq nd$ is at most

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{2nd}{d_1} + 1\right) \cdots \left(\frac{2nd}{d_n} + 1\right) &= \frac{(2nd)^n}{\text{covolume } \Lambda} \left(1 + \frac{d_1}{2nd}\right) \cdots \left(1 + \frac{d_n}{2nd}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{(2nd)^n}{\text{covolume } \Lambda} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2n}\right)^n \\ &< \frac{(2nd)^n \exp(1/2)}{\text{covolume } \Lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using Lemma 2.3.2 we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \#U &\leq (\# \text{ of possible } i) \cdot (\# \text{ of possible } \mathbf{m}) \cdot (\# \text{ of } \ell \text{ for a given } i \text{ and } \mathbf{m}) \\ &\leq (n+1) \cdot \#(M \cap I'_{n-1}) \cdot \frac{(2nd)^n \exp(1/2)}{\text{covolume } \Lambda} \\ &\leq (n+1) c_7 \frac{4^{n-1}}{(n-1)! \delta^{n-1}} \frac{(2nd)^n \exp(1/2)}{\text{covolume } \Lambda} \\ &= (n+1) c_7 \frac{4^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \left(\frac{c_6 6^n}{(n-1)d}\right)^{n-1} \frac{(2nd)^n \exp(1/2)}{\text{covolume } \Lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Regrouping the terms in this last expression and using the fact that $(n/(n-1))^{n-1}$ is less than $\exp(1)$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \#U &\leq \frac{n(n+1)}{(n-1)!} 6^{n^2} \left(\frac{4c_6}{3}\right)^n \left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)^{n-1} \left(\frac{c_7 \exp(1/2)}{4c_6}\right) \frac{d}{\text{covolume } \Lambda} \\ &< \frac{n(n+1)}{(n-1)!} 6^{n^2} \left(\frac{4c_6}{3}\right)^n \left(\frac{c_7 \exp(3/2)}{4c_6}\right) \frac{d}{\text{covolume } \Lambda} \\ &= \frac{n(n+1)}{(n-1)!} 6^{n^2} c_1^n c_3 \frac{d}{\text{covolume } \Lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

□

We are left with the task of proving Lemma 2.3.2. Our proof will depend on the following simple fact.

Lemma 2.3.4. *Let $m > 0$ be an integer, let $C \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be the cubical lattice with edge-length $1/m$, and let J'_n be the region $\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid 0 \leq x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_n < 1\}$. Then $\#(C \cap J'_n) = \binom{n+m-1}{n}$.*

Proof. The map $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \mapsto (my_1 + 1, my_2 + 2, \dots, my_n + n)$ gives a bijection between $C \cap J'_n$ and the set $\{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^n \mid 0 < z_1 < \dots < z_n < m + n\}$, whose cardinality is equal to the number of ways one can choose n distinct integers from the set $\{1, 2, \dots, m + n - 1\}$. □

Proof of Lemma 2.3.2. The affine map $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{x}/4 + (1/2, \dots, 1/2)$ sends M to the cubical lattice $C' \subset \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ with edge-length $\delta/4$ and sends I'_{n-1} to J'_{n-1} , so $\#(M \cap I'_{n-1}) = \#(C' \cap J'_{n-1})$. Let $m = \lceil 4/\delta \rceil$ and let $C \subset \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ be the cubical lattice with edge length $1/m$. Then $1/m \leq \delta/4$ and $m \leq (4/\delta)(1 + \delta/4)$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \#(C' \cap J'_{n-1}) &\leq \#(C \cap J'_{n-1}) \\ &= \binom{m+n-2}{n-1} \\ &= \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \left(1 + \frac{1}{m}\right) \cdots \left(1 + \frac{n-2}{m}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{4^{n-1}}{(n-1)! \delta^{n-1}} \left(1 + \frac{\delta}{4}\right)^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{\delta}{4}\right) \cdots \left(1 + \frac{(n-2)\delta}{4}\right). \end{aligned}$$

To finish our proof we need only show that

$$\left(1 + \frac{\delta}{4}\right)^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{\delta}{4}\right) \cdots \left(1 + \frac{(n-2)\delta}{4}\right) \leq c_7 \quad (4)$$

when $n > 1$ and $\delta \leq (n-1)6^{-n}/c_6$. Inequality (4) is easy to verify when $n = 2$ and $n = 3$, so we are left with the case $n \geq 4$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 + \frac{\delta}{4}\right)^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{\delta}{4}\right) \cdots \left(1 + \frac{(n-2)\delta}{4}\right) &\leq \left(1 + \frac{\delta}{4}\right)^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{(n-2)\delta}{4}\right)^{n-2} \\ &< \exp((n-1)\delta/4) \exp((n-2)^2\delta/4) \\ &= \exp((n^2 - 3n + 3)\delta/4) \\ &\leq \exp\left(\frac{2(n-1)(n^2 - 3n + 3)}{6^n \sqrt{3}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and it is easy to verify that this last expression is less than c_7 when $n \geq 4$. Thus inequality (4) holds for all $n > 1$ and we are done. □

2.4. Proof of the Lipschitz bound.

Since $\Phi = X \circ \Psi$, Lemma 2.3.3 follows from the following more precise result.

Lemma 2.4.1. *For every $n > 1$ the following statements hold:*

1. *For every index $i = 0, \dots, n$ and for every $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ in I_{n-1} we have $\|\Delta_i(\mathbf{x}) - \Delta_i(\mathbf{y})\| = \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|$.*
2. *For every $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ in I_n we have $\|\Psi(\mathbf{x}) - \Psi(\mathbf{y})\| \leq 3^{n-1}\sqrt{n} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|$.*
3. *For every $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ in $\mathbf{R}^n$ we have $\|X(\mathbf{x}) - X(\mathbf{y})\| \leq (3\sqrt{3} \cdot 2^{n-3}/\sqrt{n}) \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|$.*

Proof. The first statement of the lemma follows immediately from the definitions of Δ_i and of the sup-norm. To prove the second and third statements, we will use two basic facts.

First fact: Suppose R is a convex open region of $\mathbf{R}^m$ and f is a continuous function from the closure of R to $\mathbf{R}^n$ that is differentiable on R . Suppose M is a real number such that for all $\mathbf{z} \in R$ and for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^m$ we have $\|D \cdot \mathbf{x}\| \leq M\|\mathbf{x}\|$, where D is the Jacobian matrix of f evaluated at $\mathbf{z}$. Then for all $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ in the closure of R we have $\|f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{y})\| \leq M\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|$. (This statement is an immediate consequence of [1, Theorem 12.9, p. 355].)

Second fact: Suppose $D = [d_{i,j}]$ is an $n \times m$ matrix of real numbers, and let

$$M = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^m |d_{i,j}|.$$

Then for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^m$ we have $\|D \cdot \mathbf{x}\| \leq M\|\mathbf{x}\|$. (We leave the simple verification of this fact to the reader.)

Let us proceed to the proof of the second statement of the lemma. Suppose $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$ is an element of I_n , and let $D = [d_{i,j}]$ be the Jacobian of Ψ evaluated at $\mathbf{r}$. The definition of Ψ shows that $d_{i,j}$ is $(-1)^i$ times the $(i-1)$ th symmetric polynomial in the $n-1$ numbers $\{r_k \mid k \neq j\}$, and since $\mathbf{r} \in I_n$ the absolute value of this entry is at most $\binom{n-1}{i-1} 2^{i-1}$. Thus

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^n |d_{i,j}| \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} n \binom{n-1}{i-1} 2^{i-1}.$$

Let $b_i = n \binom{n-1}{i-1} 2^{i-1}$. Then for $i > 1$ we have $b_i/b_{i-1} = 2(n-i+1)/(i-1)$, so $b_i > b_{i-1}$ if and only if $i \leq 2n/3 + 1$. We see that the maximum value of b_i occurs when $i = \lfloor 2n/3 \rfloor + 1$. Thus Lemma 2.4.2 below shows that $b_i \leq 3^{n-1}\sqrt{n}$ for all i , and this result, combined with first and second facts above, proves the second statement of the lemma.

We are left to prove the third statement. It is not hard to see from the definition of X that X is an affine map; that is, there is an $n \times n$ matrix D such that $X(\mathbf{c}) = X((0, \dots, 0)) + D \cdot \mathbf{c}$ for every $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{R}^n$. Furthermore, it is easy to check that every entry of D is non-negative. It follows that the maximum row-sum of D is equal to the sup-norm of $X((1, \dots, 1)) - X((0, \dots, 0))$. Let $g_1 = x^n((x+1/x)^n + \dots + (x+1/x) + 1)$ and let $g_0 = x^n(x+1/x)^n$. The definition of X in terms of polynomials shows that the sup-norm of $X((1, \dots, 1)) - X((0, \dots, 0))$ is equal to the largest coefficient of the polynomial $g_1 - g_0$, and it is not hard to check that this largest coefficient is the coefficient of x^n . In other words, the maximum row-sum of D is equal to the sum over the even integers j less than n of $\binom{j}{j/2}$. Lemma 2.4.3 below shows that this sum is at most $3\sqrt{3} \cdot 2^{n-3}/\sqrt{n}$. Using the first and second facts above, we see that the third statement of the lemma is true. $\square$

Lemma 2.4.2. *Let n be a positive integer and let $i = \lfloor 2n/3 \rfloor + 1$. Then we have $n \binom{n-1}{i-1} 2^{i-1} \leq 3^{n-1} \sqrt{n}$.*

Proof. For every $n > 0$ let $r_n = (n \binom{n-1}{i-1} 2^{i-1}) / (3^{n-1} \sqrt{n})$, where $i = \lfloor 2n/3 \rfloor + 1$. Then we find that for every $m \geq 0$ we have

$$\frac{r_{3m+4}}{r_{3m+1}} = \frac{(m+2/3)\sqrt{(m+1/3)(m+4/3)}}{(m+1/2)(m+1)} < 1$$

and

$$\frac{r_{3m+3}}{r_{3m+1}} = \frac{(m+2/3)\sqrt{m+1/3}}{(m+1/2)\sqrt{m+1}} < 1$$

and

$$\frac{r_{3m+2}}{r_{3m+1}} = \frac{\sqrt{(m+1/3)(m+2/3)}}{m+1/2} < 1.$$

The first inequality shows that $r_n \leq r_1$ for all $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, and then the second and third inequalities show that $r_n \leq r_1$ for all n . Since $r_1 = 1$, the lemma follows. $\square$

Lemma 2.4.3. *We have the following inequalities:*

1. *If j is a positive integer, then $\binom{2j}{j} < 4^j / \sqrt{\pi j}$.*
2. *Let n be a positive integer and let $i = \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor$. Then*

$$\binom{0}{0} + \binom{2}{1} + \cdots + \binom{2i}{i} \leq \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{8} \frac{2^n}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

Proof. For every $j > 0$ let $r_j = \binom{2j}{j} \sqrt{j} / 4^j$. Then

$$\frac{r_{j+1}}{r_j} = \frac{j+1/2}{\sqrt{j(j+1)}} > 1$$

so the r_j form an increasing sequence. Stirling's formula shows that the r_j approach $1/\sqrt{\pi}$, and the first inequality of the lemma follows.

Let $c_8 = 9\sqrt{2}/16$. To prove the second part of the lemma, we will first show that for every $m > 0$ we have

$$\binom{0}{0} + \binom{2}{1} + \cdots + \binom{2m}{m} \leq c_8 \frac{4^m}{\sqrt{m}}. \quad (5)$$

One checks by hand that (5) holds for $m = 1$, $m = 2$, and $m = 3$. Let s_m denote the sum on the left hand side of inequality (5), and suppose that (5) holds when m is equal to some integer $j > 2$. Then by using the first part of the lemma we find that

$$\begin{aligned} s_{j+1} &= s_j + \binom{2j+2}{j+1} \\ &\leq c_8 \frac{4^j}{\sqrt{j}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{4^{j+1}}{\sqrt{j+1}} \\ &= c_8 \frac{4^{j+1}}{\sqrt{j+1}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{j+1}}{4\sqrt{j}} + \frac{1}{c_8 \sqrt{\pi}} \right) \\ &< c_8 \frac{4^{j+1}}{\sqrt{j+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the final inequality holds because the expression in parentheses is less than 1 when $j \geq 3$. By induction, inequality (5) holds for all $m > 0$.

Now suppose that $n > 4$ is an integer, and write $n = 2m + r$ where $m > 1$ is an integer and $r = 1$ or $r = 2$. Then the i in the second statement of the lemma is equal to m , and using inequality (5) we see that

$$\binom{0}{0} + \binom{2}{1} + \cdots + \binom{2i}{i} \leq c_8 \frac{4^m}{\sqrt{m}} = c_8 2^{-r} \sqrt{\frac{n}{m}} \frac{2^n}{\sqrt{n}} < \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{8} \frac{2^n}{\sqrt{n}},$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that $c_8 2^{-r} \sqrt{(2m+r)/m} < 3\sqrt{3}/8$ when $m > 1$ and $r = 1$ or $r = 2$. Thus the second inequality of the lemma holds for all $n > 4$. But direct computation shows that the inequality holds for $n \leq 4$ as well. $\square$

2.5. Nonzero lower bounds for lattice points.

If one is interested in obtaining nonzero lower bounds on the size of the intersection of a rectilinear lattice with one of the regions V_n — as we will be in Part 3 — then Proposition 2.3.1 is only helpful when the mesh of the lattice is very small, say on the order of 6^{-n^2} . In this section we will prove several lemmas that can be used to give nontrivial lower bounds even when the mesh of the lattice is close to 1. The idea is to show that the complicated region V_n contains a simple “diamond-shaped” region, and to give a good lower bound on the size of the intersection of a rectilinear lattice with such a diamond-shaped region. We will demonstrate the use of these lemmas in the proof of Theorem 1.3 in Section 3.2. Lemma 2.5.1 will also be the critical element in the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Lemma 2.5.1. *Suppose $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ satisfies $|b_n/2| + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |b_i| \leq 1$. Then $\mathbf{b} \in V_n$.*

Proof. Let W_n be the set of all vectors that satisfy the hypothesis of the lemma, and let W_n° denote the interior of W_n . Since V_n is closed, to prove that $W_n \subseteq V_n$ it will be enough to prove that $W_n^\circ \subseteq V_n$, and to accomplish this it will be enough to show that $W_n^\circ \cap V_n \neq \emptyset$ and that $W_n^\circ \cap \partial V_n = \emptyset$. The first statement is clear, because the vector $(0, \dots, 0)$ lies in both W_n° and V_n . To prove the second statement, we use Lemma 2.1.3 as follows.

Suppose that $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ is an element of $W_n^\circ \cap V_n$, and suppose z is a root of $g_{\mathbf{b}}$. We calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} g'_{\mathbf{b}}(z) &= 2nz^{2n-1} + b_1((2n-1)z^{2n-2} + 1) + b_2((2n-2)z^{2n-3} + 2z) + \cdots \\ &\quad + b_{n-1}((n+1)z^n + (n-1)z^{n-2}) + b_n n z^{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and since all the roots of $g_{\mathbf{b}}$ lie on the unit circle it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |g'_{\mathbf{b}}(z)| &\geq 2n - |b_1|((2n-1) + 1) - |b_2|((2n-2) + 2) - \cdots \\ &\quad - |b_{n-1}|((n+1) + (n-1)) - |b_n|n \\ &= 2n \left(1 - \left| \frac{b_n}{2} \right| - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |b_i| \right) \\ &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

because $\mathbf{b} \in W_n^\circ$. Thus $g_{\mathbf{b}}$ has no multiple roots, so $\mathbf{b}$ cannot be in ∂V_n , and the lemma is proved. $\square$

Lemma 2.5.2. *Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be a rectilinear lattice, let $r > 0$ be a real number, and let $W \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be the region $W = \{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_1 + \dots + x_n \leq r \ \& \ \forall i : x_i \geq 0 \}$. Then*

$$\#(\Lambda \cap W) \geq \frac{r^n}{n! \operatorname{covolume} \Lambda}.$$

Proof. Recall that to every lattice point $\ell \in \Lambda$ we associate a brick B_ℓ . It is easy to see that for every $x \in W$ there is an $\ell \in \Lambda \cap W$ such that $x \in B_\ell$. Thus $\#(\Lambda \cap W)$ is at least the ratio of the volume of W to the volume of a brick. The lemma then follows from the fact that the volume of W is $r^n/n!$. $\square$

Let $r > 0$ be a real number, and let $U = \{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid |x_1| + \dots + |x_n| \leq r \}$. Suppose Λ is a rectilinear lattice generated by the vectors $d_1 \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, d_n \mathbf{e}_n$, where the $\mathbf{e}_i$ are the standard unit vectors in $\mathbf{R}^n$ and where the d_i are positive. For every subset S of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ let d_S denote the sum $\sum_{i \in S} d_i$.

Lemma 2.5.3. *We have*

$$\#(\Lambda \cap U) \geq \frac{1}{n! \operatorname{covolume} \Lambda} \sum_{S: d_S \leq r} (r - d_S)^n.$$

Proof. For every subset S of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ let U_S denote the subset of U consisting of those $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ such that $x_i \geq 0$ if $i \notin S$ and $x_i \leq -d_i$ if $i \in S$. Furthermore, let

$$W_S = \{ (y_1, \dots, y_n) \mid y_1 + \dots + y_n \leq r - d_S \ \& \ \forall i : y_i \geq 0 \}.$$

Clearly $\Lambda \cap U$ is the disjoint union of the $\Lambda \cap U_S$. Also, for every S there is a bijection between $\Lambda \cap U_S$ and $\Lambda \cap W_S$ given by the map $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (y_1, \dots, y_n)$, where $y_i = x_i$ if $x_i \geq 0$ and $y_i = -x_i - d_i$ if $x_i < 0$. The lemma then follows from Lemma 2.5.2. $\square$

3. ABELIAN VARIETIES OVER FINITE FIELDS

3.1. Properties of Weil polynomials.

To every abelian variety A over $\mathbf{F}_q$ one associates its characteristic polynomial of Frobenius $f_A \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$, sometimes called the *Weil polynomial* or the *Weil q -polynomial* of the variety. The polynomial f_A is monic of degree twice the dimension of A , and Weil's "Riemann Hypothesis" says that all of its roots in $\mathbf{C}$ have magnitude $\sqrt{q}$. Furthermore, the Honda-Tate theorem (see [8]) implies that the real roots of f_A , if there are any, have even multiplicity. It follows that f_A can be written

$$f_A = (x^{2n} + q^n) + a_1(x^{2n-1} + q^{n-1}x) + \dots + a_{n-1}(x^{n+1} + qx^{n-1}) + a_n x^n$$

for some integers a_i . The variety A is called *ordinary*, and f_A is called an *ordinary Weil q -polynomial*, if the middle coefficient a_n is coprime to q .

In this section we will prove two propositions, one to let us easily identify ordinary Weil polynomials and the other to provide a necessary condition for a polynomial to be a non-ordinary Weil polynomial. We will use properties of Newton polygons in our proofs; see for example [2, Chapter 2] for the basic facts about Newton polygons that we will require.

Proposition 3.1.1. *Suppose $f \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$ is a monic polynomial of degree $2n$ all of whose roots in $\mathbf{C}$ have magnitude $\sqrt{q}$, and suppose the middle coefficient of f is coprime to q . Then f is an ordinary Weil q -polynomial.*

Proof. It is easy to check that every irreducible factor of f in $\mathbf{Z}[x]$ must have even degree and have middle coefficient coprime to q , and if these factors are ordinary Weil polynomials then so must be f . Thus it suffices to consider the case where f is irreducible. The only real numbers with magnitude $\sqrt{q}$ are $\sqrt{q}$ and $-\sqrt{q}$, and the minimal polynomials over $\mathbf{Q}$ of these numbers do not satisfy the hypotheses of the proposition, so we reduce the proof to the case where f is irreducible and has no real roots.

Let v be the valuation on $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ such that $v(q) = 1$. The Honda-Tate theorem says that there is a unique integer e such that f^e is the Weil polynomial of a simple abelian variety, and the theorem tells us how to calculate e : It is the smallest positive integer such that for every root π of f in $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ the rational number $ev(\pi)[\mathbf{Q}_p(\pi) : \mathbf{Q}_p]$ is an integer. (If f had real roots, e would be the smallest *even* integer satisfying this condition.) But the slopes of the Newton polygon (with respect to v) for our f are 0 and -1 , so $v(\pi)$ is either 0 or 1, and it follows that $e = 1$. Thus f is an ordinary Weil polynomial. $\square$

Lemma 3.1.2. *Let q be a power of a prime p , let v be the p -adic valuation on $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ normalized so that $v(q) = 1$, and let f be a Weil q -polynomial. Then the vertices of the Newton polygon for f (with respect to v) are integer lattice points.*

Proof. If the Newton polygons of two polynomials have vertices that are integer lattice points, then so does the Newton polygon of their product. Thus we need only prove the lemma when f is the Weil polynomial of a simple abelian variety. In this case $f = P^e$ for some irreducible polynomial P . Suppose P factors in $\mathbf{Z}_p[x]$ into the product of irreducibles P_i . As we mentioned in the preceding proof, the Honda-Tate theorem shows that if π_i is a root of P_i , then $ev(\pi_i) \deg P_i$ is an integer. But the Newton polygon of P_i^e is a straight line from $(0, ev(\pi_i) \deg P_i)$ to $(\deg P_i, 0)$, so its vertices are integer lattice points. Thus the vertices of the Newton polygon for $f = P^e$ are integer lattice points as well. $\square$

Proposition 3.1.3. *Suppose A is a non-ordinary n -dimensional abelian variety over $\mathbf{F}_q$, let a_n be the middle coefficient of its Weil polynomial, and let v be the p -adic valuation on $\mathbf{Q}$ with $v(q) = 1$. Then $v(a_n) \geq 1/2$.*

Proof. Since f_A has the form

$$f_A = (x^{2n} + q^n) + a_1(x^{2n-1} + q^{n-1}x) + \cdots + a_{n-1}(x^{n+1} + qx^{n-1}) + a_n x^n$$

we see that if $(n+i, j)$ is a vertex of the Newton polygon for f_A , with $i > 0$, then so is $(n-i, j+i)$.

Let i be the smallest non-negative integer for which there is a j such that $(n+i, j)$ is a vertex of the Newton polygon for f_A . If $i = 0$ then $v(a_n) = j$ is an integer, and since A is not ordinary $v(a_n) \geq 1 > 1/2$. On the other hand, if $i > 0$ then the point $(n, v(a_n))$ lies above the line connecting $(n-i, j+i)$ to $(n+i, j)$, and it follows that $v(a_n) \geq j + i/2 \geq 1/2$. $\square$

3.2. Counting isogeny classes.

In this section we will prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 from the introduction. We begin with Theorem 1.2. Let q be a power of a prime p and let n be a positive integer. Note that the conclusions of Theorem 1.2 follow easily when $n = 1$, because in that case $\#\mathcal{O}(q, n)$ is simply the number of integers t such that $|t| \leq 2\sqrt{q}$ and $(t, q) = 1$, and $1 \leq \#\mathcal{N}(q, n) \leq 5$ (as follows from [9, Theorem 4.1], for example). So henceforth we will assume that $n > 1$. Also, the statement that $\#\mathcal{O}(q, n-1) \leq \#\mathcal{N}(q, n)$ follows from the existence of the injection from $\mathcal{O}(q, n-1)$ to $\mathcal{N}(q, n)$ obtained by multiplying an ordinary isogeny class by the isogeny class of a fixed supersingular elliptic curve. We are left to prove the other two inequalities of the theorem.

Let $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$ denote the standard basis vectors of $\mathbf{R}^n$. Our arguments will involve three lattices in $\mathbf{R}^n$: The first lattice, denoted Λ_q , is generated by the vectors $q^{-i/2}\mathbf{e}_i$; the second, denoted Λ'_q , is generated by the same set of vectors, except with $q^{-n/2}\mathbf{e}_n$ replaced with $pq^{-n/2}\mathbf{e}_n$; and the third lattice, denoted Λ''_q , is generated by the same set as was Λ_q , but with $q^{-n/2}\mathbf{e}_n$ replaced with $sq^{-n/2}\mathbf{e}_n$, where s is the smallest power of p such that $q \mid s^2$. Thus $\Lambda_q \supset \Lambda'_q \supseteq \Lambda''_q$.

We noted earlier that if A is an n -dimensional abelian variety over $\mathbf{F}_q$ then its Weil polynomial f_A has all complex roots on the circle $|z| = \sqrt{q}$ and its real roots have even multiplicity. If we write

$$f_A = (x^{2n} + q^n) + a_1(x^{2n-1} + q^{n-1}x) + \dots + a_{n-1}(x^{n+1} + qx^{n-1}) + a_nx^n$$

and let $\mathbf{b} = (a_1q^{-1/2}, a_2q^{-1}, \dots, a_nq^{-n/2})$, then $\mathbf{b} \in \Lambda_q$ and in the notation of Section 2.1 we have $f_A(x) = q^n g_{\mathbf{b}}(x/\sqrt{q})$. Furthermore, $g_{\mathbf{b}}$ has all of its roots on the unit circle, and its real roots have even multiplicity, so $\mathbf{b} \in V_n$.

The Honda-Tate theorem shows that this association $A \mapsto \mathbf{b}$ gives us an injection Θ from the set $\mathcal{I}(q, n)$ to $\Lambda_q \cap V_n$. Proposition 3.1.1 shows that Θ gives a bijection between $\mathcal{O}(q, n)$ and $(\Lambda_q \cap V_n) \setminus (\Lambda'_q \cap V_n)$, and Proposition 3.1.3 shows that $\Theta(\mathcal{N}(q, n)) \subseteq \Lambda''_q \cap V_n$. Thus, Theorem 1.2 is a consequence of the following:

Proposition 3.2.1. *Let q be a power of a prime p and let $n > 1$ be an integer. Then we have*

$$|\#(\Lambda_q \cap V_n) - \#(\Lambda'_q \cap V_n) - v_n r(q) q^{n(n+1)/4}| \leq 6^{n^2} c_1^n c_2 \frac{n(n+1)}{(n-1)!} q^{(n+2)(n-1)/4}$$

and

$$\#(\Lambda''_q \cap V_n) \leq \left(v_n + 6^{n^2} c_1^n c_3 \frac{n(n+1)}{(n-1)!} \right) q^{(n+2)(n-1)/4}.$$

Proof. Note that the lattice Λ_q has covolume $q^{-n(n+1)/4}$ and mesh $q^{-1/2}$. Also, the lattice Λ'_q has covolume $pq^{-n(n+1)/4}$, and its mesh is $q^{-1/2}$ unless $n = 2$ and $q = p$, in which case its mesh is 1. Applying Proposition 2.3.1 to these two lattices, combining the resulting inequalities, and using the fact that $r(q) = 1 - 1/p$, we find that the left-hand side of the first inequality of the proposition is at most

$$6^{n^2} c_1^n c_3 \frac{n(n+1)}{(n-1)!} q^{(n+2)(n-1)/4} \left(1 + \frac{d\sqrt{q}}{p} \right)$$

where $d = 1$ if $n = 2$ and $q = p$, and $d = q^{-1/2}$ otherwise. We see that

$$1 + \frac{d\sqrt{q}}{p} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},$$

and since $c_2 = c_3(1 + 1/\sqrt{2})$ we obtain the first inequality of the proposition.

The lattice Λ_q'' has covolume $sq^{-n(n+1)/4}$ and its mesh is at most 1, so Proposition 2.3.1 tells us that

$$\#(\Lambda_q'' \cap V_n) \leq \left(v_n + 6^{n^2} c_1^n c_3 \frac{n(n+1)}{(n-1)!} \right) \frac{q^{n(n+1)/4}}{s}.$$

But $s \geq q^{1/2}$, so we obtain the second inequality of the proposition. $\square$

Now we turn to Theorem 1.3. Again, the theorem is easy to prove when $n = 1$, so we will assume that $n > 1$. Let $I \subset \mathbf{R}$ be the interval $[-1/n, 1/n]$ and let $U \subset \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ be the region

$$U = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \mid |x_1| + \dots + |x_{n-1}| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{2n} \right\}.$$

Then Lemma 2.5.1 shows that $U \times I \subset V_n$. Let Λ be the lattice in $\mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ generated by $q^{-1/2}\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, q^{-(n-1)/2}\mathbf{e}_{n-1}$ and let M be the set

$$M = \{ mq^{-n/2} \mid m \in \mathbf{Z} \text{ \& } (m, q) = 1 \}.$$

Then we have

$$(\Lambda \cap U) \times (M \cap I) \subseteq (\Lambda_q \cap V_n) \setminus (\Lambda_q' \cap V_n)$$

so the product of $\#(\Lambda \cap U)$ with $\#(M \cap I)$ gives a lower bound on $\#\mathcal{O}(q, n)$. A simple argument shows that $\#(M \cap I) \geq 2(1 - 1/p)q^{n/2}/n - 2$, so the following lemma completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 3.2.2. *We have*

$$\#(\Lambda \cap U) > (c_4/2)(c_5n)^{-2(\log 2)/(\log q)} \frac{2^n}{(n-1)!} q^{n(n-1)/4}.$$

Proof. Let j be the smallest element of the set consisting of n and those integers greater than $2(\log c_5n)/(\log q)$, and let $T = \{j, j+1, \dots, n-1\}$, so that $T = \emptyset$ if $j = n$. Suppose $S \subseteq T$. If $j = n$ then $d_S = 0 < 1/n$ (in the notation of Lemma 2.5.3). If $j < n$ then

$$d_S \leq d_T < \frac{q^{-j/2}}{1 - 1/\sqrt{q}} \leq c_5 q^{-j/2} \leq \frac{1}{n},$$

because $j \geq 2(\log c_5n)/(\log q)$. Applying Lemma 2.5.3 to Λ and U (so that $r = 1 - 1/2n$), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \#(\Lambda \cap U) &\geq \frac{q^{n(n-1)/4}}{(n-1)!} \sum_{S: d_S \leq r} (r - d_S)^{n-1} \\ &\geq \frac{q^{n(n-1)/4}}{(n-1)!} \sum_{S \subseteq T} \left(1 - \frac{3}{2n}\right)^{n-1} \\ &\geq \frac{q^{n(n-1)/4}}{(n-1)!} 2^{n-j} \left(1 - \frac{3}{2n}\right)^{n-1} \\ &> \frac{q^{n(n-1)/4}}{(n-1)!} 2^{n-j} c_4 \end{aligned}$$

because $(1 - 3/2n)^{n-1} > \exp(-3/2) = c_4$. To complete the proof of the lemma we need only show that

$$2^{-j} \geq (1/2)(c_5 n)^{-2(\log 2)/(\log q)},$$

but this follows directly from the inequality $j < 1 + 2(\log c_5 n)/(\log q)$. $\square$

3.3. Group orders of abelian varieties.

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.4. We begin with a simple lemma.

Lemma 3.3.1. *Let q be a power of a prime number and let $n > 0$ be an integer. Suppose $a_1, \dots, a_n$ are integers such that*

$$\left| \frac{a_n}{2q^{n/2}} \right| + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left| \frac{a_i}{q^{i/2}} \right| \leq 1$$

and $(a_n, q) = 1$. Then

$$f = (x^{2n} + q^n) + a_1(x^{2n-1} + q^{n-1}x) + \dots + a_{n-1}(x^{n+1} + qx^{n-1}) + a_n x^n$$

is an ordinary Weil q -polynomial.

Proof. For every i let $b_i = a_i/q^{i/2}$ and let $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$. Then we have $f(x) = q^n g_{\mathbf{b}}(x/\sqrt{q})$. By Lemma 2.5.1 every root of $g_{\mathbf{b}}$ lies on the unit circle, so every root of f has magnitude $\sqrt{q}$. Since $(a_n, q) = 1$, Proposition 3.1.1 tells us that f is an ordinary Weil polynomial. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.4. If A is an abelian variety over $\mathbf{F}_q$ then $\#A(\mathbf{F}_q) = f_A(1)$, so to prove the theorem it will be enough to find an ordinary Weil q -polynomial f of degree $2n$ with $f(1) = m$. We have slightly different arguments for the cases $n > 2$ and $n = 2$; let us begin by proving the theorem when $n > 2$.

We will choose the coefficients $a_1, \dots, a_n$ of f one at a time. To begin, we let $g_1 = m - (q^n + 1)$ and pick a_1 so that the absolute value of $g_1 - a_1(q^{n-1} + 1)$ is minimized. Now suppose we have chosen a_1 through a_{i-1} , for some $i < n$. Let

$$g_i = m - (q^n + 1) - a_1(q^{n-1} + 1) - \dots - a_{i-1}(q^{n-i+1} + 1),$$

and pick a_i so that the absolute value of $g_i - a_i(q^{n-i} + 1)$ is minimized. Finally, pick a_n so that

$$m = (q^n + 1) + a_1(q^{n-1} + 1) + \dots + a_{n-1}(q + 1) + a_n. \quad (6)$$

In a moment we may change the values of a_{n-1} and a_n , but let us first deduce some properties of the a_i as they stand. The hypotheses of the theorem show that

$$\left| m - (q^n + 1) \right| < C_q \sqrt{q}(q^{n-1} + 1) = \left(\lfloor B_q \sqrt{q} \rfloor + 1/2 \right) (q^{n-1} + 1),$$

so we have

$$|a_1| \leq \lfloor B_q \sqrt{q} \rfloor \leq B_q \sqrt{q}. \quad (7)$$

Our construction guarantees that $|g_i| \leq (q^{n-i+1} + 1)/2$ for $i = 2, \dots, n-1$, and it follows that

$$|a_i| \leq \frac{q}{2} \quad (8)$$

for $i = 2, \dots, n-1$ and that $|a_n| \leq (q+1)/2$. Also, note that if $a_{n-1} = q/2$ then

$$a_n = g_n - a_{n-1}(q+1) \leq (q^2+1)/2 - (q/2)(q+1) < 0,$$

and likewise if $a_{n-1} = -q/2$ then $a_n > 0$.

If $(a_n, q) > 1$ and $a_n < 0$, replace a_n with $a_n + (q+1)$ and replace a_{n-1} with $a_{n-1} - 1$. If $(a_n, q) > 1$ and $a_n \geq 0$, replace a_n with $a_n - (q+1)$ and replace a_{n-1} with $a_{n-1} + 1$. Note that whether or not we have changed the values of a_n and a_{n-1} , equation (6) still holds, equation (7) still holds, equation (8) holds for $i = 2, \dots, n-2$, we have $(a_n, q) = 1$, and we have $|a_{n-1}| \leq (q+1)/2$ and $|a_n| \leq q+1$. The inequality for a_{n-1} is the only non-obvious statement, and it can be seen as follows: in order for $|a_{n-1}|$ to be greater than $(q+1)/2$, either the original value for a_{n-1} must have been $q/2$ and a_n must have been positive and not coprime to q , or the original value for a_{n-1} must have been $-q/2$ and a_n must have been negative and not coprime to q . However, the comment at the end of the preceding paragraph shows that neither of these possibilities could have occurred.

We calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left| \frac{a_i}{q^{i/2}} \right| \right) + \left| \frac{a_n}{2q^{n/2}} \right| &\leq B_q + \frac{q}{2q} + \dots + \frac{q}{2q^{(n-2)/2}} + \frac{q+1}{2q^{(n-1)/2}} + \frac{q+1}{2q^{n/2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{q}-2}{\sqrt{q}-1} + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \dots + q^{-(n-1)/2} + q^{-n/2} \right) \\ &< \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{q}-2}{\sqrt{q}-1} + \frac{\sqrt{q}}{\sqrt{q}-1} \right) \\ &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

so by Lemma 3.3.1 the polynomial

$$f = (x^{2n} + q^n) + a_1(x^{2n-1} + q^{n-1}x) + \dots + a_{n-1}(x^{n+1} + qx^{n-1}) + a_n x^n$$

is an ordinary Weil q -polynomial, and by equation (6) we have $f(1) = m$. This proves the theorem when $n > 2$.

Now suppose $n = 2$. We begin as in the preceding case: Pick a_1 so as to minimize the absolute value of $m - (q^2 + 1) - a_1(q+1)$, and then choose a_2 so that $m = (q^2 + 1) + a_1(q+1) + a_2$. Note that the hypotheses of the theorem imply that

$$\left| m - (q^2 + 1) \right| < C_q \sqrt{q}(q+1) = \left(\lfloor B_q \sqrt{q} \rfloor + 1/2 \right)(q+1),$$

so again we have $|a_1| \leq \lfloor B_q \sqrt{q} \rfloor \leq B_q \sqrt{q}$. Also, we see that $|a_2| \leq (q+1)/2$.

Suppose $(a_2, q) = 1$. Then Lemma 3.3.1, together with the fact that

$$\left| \frac{a_1}{\sqrt{q}} \right| + \left| \frac{a_2}{2q} \right| \leq B_q + \frac{q+1}{4q} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}-1} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2q} \right) < 1,$$

shows that $f = (x^4 + q^2) + a_1(x^3 + qx) + a_2 x^2$ is an ordinary Weil q -polynomial with $f(1) = m$, and we are done.

On the other hand, suppose that $(a_2, q) > 1$. Replace a_2 with $a_2 + q + 1$ and replace a_1 with $a_1 - 1$. We still have $m = (q^2 + 1) + a_1(q+1) + a_2$, and in addition we have $(q+1)/2 \leq a_2 \leq 3(q+1)/2$ and $|a_1| < B_q \sqrt{q} + 1 < \sqrt{q}$. It follows that $a_1/\sqrt{q} \in [-1, 1]$ and $a_2/2q \in [0, 2]$. By Example 2.1.2, we have $(a_1/\sqrt{q}, a_2/q) \in V_2$, so once again $f = (x^4 + q^2) + a_1(x^3 + qx) + a_2 x^2$ is an ordinary Weil q -polynomial with $f(1) = m$. $\square$

Exercise 3.3.2. Let $C_2 = 7\sqrt{2}/64$ and let $C_3 = 7\sqrt{3}/54$. Suppose that $q = 2$ or $q = 3$ and that $n > 1$ is an integer. Show that if m is an integer such that $|m - (q^n + 1)| \leq C_q q^{n-\frac{1}{2}}$ then there is an n -dimensional ordinary abelian variety A over $\mathbf{F}_q$ with $m = \#A(\mathbf{F}_q)$.

Hint. Suppose $q = 2$. If $n \geq 7$, use the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.4, but start by taking $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = 0$, and note that then $|a_5| \leq 3$. Check the cases $n < 7$ by hand. Similarly, if $q = 3$ and $n \geq 5$, use the same argument but start with $a_1 = a_2 = 0$, and note that then $|a_3| \leq 3$. Check the cases $n < 5$ by hand.

REFERENCES

1. T. M. Apostol, *Mathematical Analysis*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, MA, 1974.
2. D. Goss, *Basic Structures of Function Field Arithmetic*, *Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb.* (3) **35**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
3. D. A. Marcus, *Number Fields*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
4. M. L. Mehta, *Random matrices*, revised and enlarged second edition, Academic Press, San Diego, 1991.
5. S. Okada, *On the generating functions for certain classes of plane partitions*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **51** (1989), 1–23.
6. D. Robbins, *An application of Okada's minor summation formula to the evaluation of a multiple integral*, preprint (1997).
7. A. Selberg, *Bemerkninger om et multipelt integral*, *Norsk Mat. Tidsskr.* **26** (1944), 71–78.
8. J. Tate, *Classes d'isogénie des variétés abéliennes sur un corps fini (d'après T. Honda)*, exposé **352**, Séminaire Bourbaki 1968/69, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **179**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971, pp. 95–110.
9. W. C. Waterhouse, *Abelian varieties over finite fields*, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (4) **2** (1969), 521–560.

CENTER FOR COMMUNICATIONS RESEARCH, 29 THANET ROAD, PRINCETON, NJ 08540-3699 U.S.A.

E-mail address: sdippippo@idaccr.org

CENTER FOR COMMUNICATIONS RESEARCH, 4320 WESTERRA COURT, SAN DIEGO, CA 92121-1967 U.S.A.

E-mail address: however@alumni.caltech.edu